

The Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

PAWANDEEP DHUNNA,	)	No.: 2:21-cv-00720-BJR
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER TO
	)	CONTINUE DEADLINES
vs.	)	
	)	
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND	)	
SECURITY, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**STIPULATION**

Plaintiff Pawandeep Dhunna and Defendants the Department of Homeland Security, *et al.*, through their respective counsel, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6 and Local Rules 10(g) and 16, and hereby jointly stipulate and move for a 90-day extension of (1) the deadline for Defendants to respond to the Complaint, and (2) the deadlines in the Court's order (Dkt. #8) that sets dates for the exchange of Initial Disclosures and filing a Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan.

A court may modify a deadline for good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). Continuing pretrial and trial dates is within the discretion of the trial judge. *See King v. State of California*, 784 F.2d 910, 912 (9th Cir. 1986).

The parties submit there is good cause for an extension of the deadlines as there was when

the parties submitted their first stipulated request for an extension of the deadlines in August 2021 (Dkt. #7). As the parties explained then, statutory authorization related to the EB-5 regional center program (the program under which the plaintiff filed an I-526 petition) expired at the end of the day on June 30, 2021. The lapse continues. Due to this lapse in authorization, in general, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (“USCIS”) will not act on any pending Form I-526 petition that is dependent on the lapsed statutory authority until further notice. See <https://www.uscis.gov/working-in-the-united-states/permanent-workers/eb-5-immigrant-investor-program> (second alert). In addition, “[a]lthough USCIS is unable to review [the plaintiff’s Request for Evidence] response at this time, [USCIS] will . . . maintain the response for review if circumstances change.” A 90-day extension will give the parties time to monitor whether Congress will renew the statutory authorization related to the regional center program and to assess the impact of such reauthorization (or lack thereof) on this litigation. Continuing the existing deadlines for a responsive pleading, initial disclosures, and a joint status report will allow the parties to conserve resources because they will not have to expend resources completing work on the case that may become moot (or the issues may change) depending on whether Congress renews the statutory authorization related to the program.

Therefore, the parties agree to and propose new deadlines as follows:

Action	Deadline
Defendants’ responsive pleading	February 7, 2022
Rule 26(f) Conference	January 25, 2022
Exchange initial disclosures	February 1, 2022
File Joint Status Report	February 8, 2022

//

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

2 DATED: October 25, 2021

s/ Gabrielle D. Schneck

Gabrielle D. Schneck  
Millar & Hayes, PC  
2633 Eastlake Avenue E., Suite 300  
Seattle, WA  
Phone: 206.262.0561  
[gabrielle@usborderlaw.com](mailto:gabrielle@usborderlaw.com)  
Attorney for Plaintiff

8 DATED: October 25, 2021

NICHOLAS W. BROWN  
United States Attorney


s/ Sarah K. Morehead

SARAH K. MOREHEAD, WSBA #29680  
Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office  
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
Seattle, WA 98101-1271  
Phone: (206) 553-7970  
Email: [sarah.morehead@usdoj.gov](mailto:sarah.morehead@usdoj.gov)  
Attorney for Defendants

**ORDER**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED this 2nd day of November, 2021.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE